School: NORTH HIGH SCHOOL - 6314 District: DENVER COUNTY 1 - 0880 (1 Year')

Improvement

This is the plan type the school is required to adopt and implement. Schools are assigned a plan based on their overall framework score, which is a percentage of the total points they earned out of the total points eligible in each performance indicator. The overall score is then matched to the score ranges below to determine the plan type.

Plan Assignment	Framework Points Earned
Performance	at or above 60%
Improvement	at or above 47% - below 60%
Priority Improvement	at or above 33% - below 47%
Turnaround	below 33%

Framework points are calculated using the percentage of points earned out of points eligible. For schools with data on all indicators, the total points possible are: 15 points for Academic Achievement, 35 for Academic Growth, 15 for Academic Growth Gaps, and 35 for Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness.

Performance Indicators	Rating/Plan	% of Points	Earned out of Points Eligible ²	
Academic Achievement	Does Not Meet	25.0%	(3.8 out of 15 points)	
Academic Growth	Meets	64.3%	(22.5 out of 35 points)	
Academic Growth Gaps	Meets	65.0%	(9.8 out of 15 points)	
Postsecondary and Workforce Read	iness Approaching	42.2%	(14.8 out of 35 points)	
Test Participation ³	Meets 95% Participation Rate			
TOTAL		50.9%	(50.9 out of 100 points)	

²Schools may not be eligible for all possible points on an indicator due to insufficient numbers of students. In these cases, the points are removed from both the points earned and the points eligible, so scores are not negatively impacted.

³Schools do not receive points for test participation. However, schools are assigned one plan category lower than their points indicate if they do not (1) meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area (reading, writing, math, science and COACT), or (2) for schools serving multiple levels (elementary, middle and high school grades, e.g., a 6-12 school), meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area when individual content area rates are rolled up across school levels (elementary, middle and high school grades).

Test Participation Rate	es															
	% of Students Tested					Participation Rating Students Te			ts Tested			Total S	tudents			
Content Area	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall
Reading	-	-	98.2%	98.2%	-	-	Meets	Meets	-	-	492	492	-	-	501	501
Mathematics	-	-	98.4%	98.4%	-	-	Meets	Meets	-	-	493	493	-	-	501	501
Writing	-	-	98.4%	98.4%	-	-	Meets	Meets	-	-	493	493	-	-	501	501
Science	-	-	99.6%	99.6%	-	-	Meets	Meets	-	-	243	243	-	-	244	244
Colorado ACT	-	-	98.1%	-	-	-	Meets	-	-	-	155	-	-	-	158	-





Performance Indicators							Lev	el: High School
School: NORTH HIGH SCHOOL							District: DENVER COUNTY	
Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	School's Percentile	
Reading	1	4		Does Not Meet	435	44.6	7	
Mathematics	1	4		Does Not Meet	434	9.91	6	
Writing	1	4		Does Not Meet	435	25.06	8	
Science	1	4		Does Not Meet	195	23.59	9	
Total	4	16	25%	Does Not Meet				
							Median Adequate Growth	Made Adequate
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Percentile	Growth?
Reading	2	4	701 011163	Approaching	363	53	60	No
Mathematics	3	4		Meets	371	55	99	No
Writing	3	4		Meets	373	63	93	No
English Language Proficiency (CELApro)	1	2		Approaching	108	51	76	No
Total	9	14	64.3%	Meets	108	31	70	NO
lotai	3	14	04.5/0	ivieets				
					Subgroup	Subgroup Median	Subgroup Median Adequate	Made Adequate
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Growth Percentile	Growth Percentile	Growth?
Reading	11	20	55%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	331	53	62	No
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	337	53	60	No
Students with Disabilities	3	4		Meets	44	56	99	No
English Learners	2	4		Approaching	177	52	66	No
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	210	53	88	No
Mathematics	13	20	65%	Meets				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4	00,0	Approaching	339	54	99	No
Minority Students	3	4		Meets	346	55	99	No
Students with Disabilities	3	4		Meets	53	62	99	No
English Learners	2	4		Approaching	181	54	99	No
Students needing to catch up	3	4		Meets	296	62	99	No
Writing	15	20	75%	Meets	230	02		140
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible			75/0		241	63	0.4	No
	3	4		Meets	341	63	94	No No
Minority Students Students with Disabilities	3	4		Meets	347 53	63 58	93 99	No No
				Meets				
English Learners	3	4		Meets	182	69	95	No No
Students needing to catch up Total	3 39	<u>4</u>	65%	Meets Meets	288	67	97	No
Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness	Points Earned	Points Eligible				N	Rate/Score	Evnoctation
	2		% Points	Rating		213/ 233 /266/276	64.8/ 74.2 /66.5/55.1%	Expectation 90%
Graduation Rate: 4yr/5yr/6yr/7yr	1.75	4	43.8%	Approaching		213/ 233 /200/2/0	04.8/ /4.2 /00.5/55.1%	80%
Disaggregated Graduation Rate		4	43.8%	Approaching		196/207/220/220	66.7/74.0/69.3/59.49/	900/
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0.5	1		Approaching		186/ 207 /230/229	66.7/ 74.9 /68.3/58.1%	80%
Minority Students Students with Disabilities	0.5	1		Approaching	<u>-</u>	197/ 215 /245/257	65/ 74.9 /65.7/54.1%	80%
Students with Disabilities	0.25	1		Does Not Meet		38/42/46/ 39	42.1/59.5/52.2/ 61.5 %	80%
English Learners	0.5	1		Approaching		27/ 43 /88/79	63/ 74.4 /52.3/57%	80%
Dropout Rate	2	4		Approaching		2025	4.2%	3.6%
Colorado ACT Composite Score	1	4	40.007	Does Not Meet		155	15.2	20.0
Total	6.75	16	42.2%	Approaching				

Scoring Guide Level: H

ormance Indica	torScoring Guide				Rating	Point	Value	Total Possible per EMH Leve	Framework Poi
ormanee marea	The school's percentage of students scoring proficient or advan	nced was:			nating	1 01110	Turuc	Total i ossible pel zivili zeve	, rametron ron
	• at or above the 90th percentile of all schools (using 2009-:			Т	Exceeds	Ι	4	16	
Academic	below the 90th percentile but at or above the 50th percentile.		seline)	+	Meets		3	(4 for each	15
Achievement	below the 50th percentile but at or above the 15th percentile		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		Approaching		2	content area)	15
Acilievellient	below the 15th percentile of all schools (using 2009-10 ba		cinc).		oes Not Meet		1	- content area)	
	If the school meets the median adequate student growth percent	•	narcantila was:		oes not weet	TCAP	CELA		
	• at or above 60.	entile una its ineulan stadent growth	percentile was.	Г	Exceeds	4	2	14	
	below 60 but at or above 45.				Meets	3	1.5	(4 for each	
	below 45 but at or above 30.				Approaching	2	1	content area	
Academic	• below 30.				oes Not Meet	1	0.5	and 2 for	35
Growth	If the school does not meet the median adequate student grov	with persentile and its median studen	t arouth percentile was:		oes Not Meet	TCAP	CELA	English	33
Growth		win percentile and its median student	t growth percentile was:		Fuscada		2	- ·	
	at or above 70. below 70 but at or above 55.			+	Exceeds	3	1.5	language	
					Meets	2		proficiency)	
	below 55 but at or above 40. below 40.				Approaching	1	1	-	
	below 40. If the student subgroup mosts the modish adequate student as	wouth persentile and its median stud	lant arouth narcontile was		oes Not Meet	1 1	0.5		
	If the student subgroup meets the median adequate student g • at or above 60.	rowth percentile and its median stad	ient growth percentile was:		Exceeds	ı	4	-	
	below 60 but at or above 45.			-			3	-	
	below 45 but at or above 45. below 45 but at or above 30.			-	Meets Approaching		2	60	
Acadamia	• below 45 but at or above 30. • below 30.					 			
Academic			<i>!</i>		oes Not Meet		1	(4 for each of 5	45
Growth Gaps	If the student subgroup does not meet the median adequate s	tuaent growtn percentile ana its med	aian stuaent growth percent	ille was:		1		subgroups in 3	15
	• at or above 70.				Exceeds		4	subject areas)	
	below 70 but at or above 55.				Meets		3	_	
	below 55 but at or above 40.				Approaching		2	_	
	• below 40.	=			oes Not Meet	1	1		
	Graduation Rate and Disaggregated Graduation Rate:	The school's graduation rate/dis	saggregatea graduation	rate was:		Overall	Disaggr.		
	• at or above 90%.				Exceeds	4	1	_	
	• at or above 80% but below 90%.				Meets	3	0.75		
	at or above 65% but below 80%.				Approaching	2	0.5	_	
	• below 65%.			D	oes Not Meet	1	0.25		
	Dropout Rate: The school's dropout rate was:							16	
stsecondary an	• at or below 1%.				Exceeds		4	(4 for each sub-	35
rkforce Readine	• at or below the state average but above 1% (using 2009-1	0 baseline).			Meets		3	indicator)	
	at or below 10% but above the state average (using 2009-	10 baseline).			Approaching		2		
	• above 10%.			D	oes Not Meet	t	1		
	Colorado ACT Composite Score: The school's average C	Colorado ACT composite score w	as:						
	• at or above 22.			1	Exceeds		4		
	at or above the state average but below 22 (using 2009-10)	O baseline).			Meets		3		
	at or above 17 but below the state average (using 2009-10)	O baseline).			Approaching		2		
	• below 17.			D	oes Not Meet		1		
Points for each	performance indicator		Cut-Points for plan ty	me accionn	ment				
		hia Indiantau	Cut-rollits for plants				of the t	atal Francescale mainte aliaibl	
	tut Point: The school earned of the points eligible on the					earned	. or the to	otal Framework points eligibl	
chievement;	• at or above 87.5%	Exceeds	T. 1. 1 E	• at or abo		600/			Performance
rowth; Gaps	• at or above 62.5% - below 87.5%	Meets	Total Framework		ove 47% - belo				Improvement
	• at or above 37.5% - below 62.5%	Approaching	Points	at or about	ove 33% - bel	ow 47%		Pi	riority Improveme
	• below 37.5%	Does Not Meet		below 3					Turnaround

Cut-Points for each	h performan	ce indicator		Cut-Points for plan ty	ype assignment				
	Cut Point: T	he school earned of the points eligible on th	nis Indicator.	Cut Point: The school earned of the total Framework points eligible.					
Achievement;	at or abov	ve 87.5%	Exceeds		• at or above 60%	Performance			
Growth; Gaps	at or abov	ve 62.5% - below 87.5%	Meets	Total Framework	• at or above 47% - below 60%	Improvement			
	at or abov	ve 37.5% - below 62.5%	Approaching	Points	• at or above 33% - below 47%	Priority Improvement			
	• below 37.	5%	Does Not Meet		• below 33%	Turnaround			
School plan type a	ssignments								
		Plan description							
Performance Plan		The school is required to adopt and implement a Per	formance Plan.	A school may not implemen	nt a Priority Improvement and/or Turnaround Plan for lo	nger than a combined total of			
Improvement Plan	1	The school is required to adopt and implement an Im	nprovement Plan.	five consecutive years befo	re the District or Institute is required to restructure or clo	ose the school. The five			
Priority Improvem	ent Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement a Price	ority Improvement Plan.	consecutive school years co	ommences on July 1 during the summer immediately follo	owing the fall in which the			
Turnaround Plan		The school is required to adopt and implement a Tur	equired to implement a Priority Improvement or Turnard	ound Plan.					

3

1-year vs. 3-year Report

Schools receive a 1-year and a 3-year aggregated School Performance Framework report. CDE produces a report on the basis of three years of data to enable more schools to be considered within the same performance framework. Some small schools may not have public data on the basis of a single year because of small N counts for some performance indicator metrics, but a report on the basis of three years of data increases the N count. Only one of the two sets of results (1-year or 3-year) will be the official plan type assignment for the school: the one under which the school has ratings on a higher number of the performance indicators, or, if it has ratings for an equal number of indicators, the one under which it received a higher total number of points and plan assignment. Note that some 3-year reports may be based on only two years of data if that is the only data available.

Reference Data for Key Performance Indicators

Academic Achievement

The Academic Achievement Indicator reflects a school's proficiency rate: the percentage of students proficient or advanced on Colorado's standardized assessments. This includes results from CSAP/TCAP and CSAPA/CoAlt in reading, mathematics, writing, and science, and results from Lectura and Escritura.

All achievement data is compared to baselines from the first year the performance framework reports were released (2009-10 for 1-year reports and 2008-10 for 3-year reports).

Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 1-year (2009-10 baseline)

	Reading				Math \			Writing		Science		
	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
N of Schools	1008	479	327	1007	480	327	1007	480	327	912	407	286
15th percentile	49.18	50.44	54.92	48.60	29.72	15.97	32.48	34.95	30.96	19.67	23.85	27.50
50th percentile	71.65	71.43	73.33	70.89	52.48	33.52	53.52	57.77	50.00	47.53	48.00	50.00
90th percentile	89.10	88.24	87.23	89.34	75.00	54.79	76.83	79.67	72.24	75.96	75.11	72.41


Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 3-year aggregate (2008-10 baseline)

	Reading				Math		Writing			Science			
	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	
N of Schools	1032	507	362	1032	507	361	1032	507	362	972	469	347	
15th percentile	50.00	50.56	53.34	48.73	29.69	13.49	32.56	36.84	30.00	20.46	25.00	27.93	
50th percentile	72.05	71.35	72.21	70.11	51.53	30.53	54.84	58.34	49.57	45.36	48.72	50.00	
90th percentile	88.21	87.40	86.17	87.48	74.41	52.19	76.51	79.17	71.00	72.65	71.26	71.45	

Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps

The Academic Growth Indicator measures academic progress using the Colorado Growth Model. This Indicator reflects 1) normative (median) growth: how the academic progress of the students in this school compared to that of other students statewide with a similar content proficiency (CSAP/TCAP) score history or a similar English language proficiency (CELApro) score history, and 2) criterion-referenced (adequate) growth: whether this level of growth was sufficient for the typical (median) student in the school to reach or maintain a specified level of proficiency within a given length of time. For CSAP/TCAP, students are expected to score proficient or advanced within three years or by 10th grade, whichever comes first. Students classified as English learners are expected to reach the next level of language proficiency on CELApro in either 1 or 2 years, depending upon the proficiency target.

4



The Academic Growth Gaps Indicator measures the academic progress of historically disadvantaged student groups and students needing to catch up. It disaggregates the Growth Indicator into student groups, and reflects their normative and adequate growth. The student groups include students eligible for free/reduced lunch, minority students, students with disabilities, English learners, and students needing to catch up.

For Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps, the median growth percentile required to earn each rating depends on whether or not the school met adequate growth. Schools that met adequate growth use the rubric on the left; schools that did not meet adequate growth use the rubric on the right.

SPF 2012 - 0880 - 6314

Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness

The Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness Indicator measures the preparedness of students for college or careers upon completing high school. This Indicator reflects student graduation rates, disaggregated graduation rates for student groups (students eligible for free/reduced lunch, minority students, students with disabilities, and English learners), dropout rates, and average Colorado ACT (COACT) composite scores.

State Average (Mean) Dropout Rate (2009-10 baseline)

	N of Students	Mean Rate
1-year (2009)	416,953	3.6
3-year (2007-09)	1,238,096	3.9

This School's Graduation Rate and Disaggregated Graduation Rate Overall Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	37.4	51	54.1	55.1
Anticipated Year	2009	53	63	66.5	
of Graduation	2010	63.8	74.2		
	2011	64.8			

Free/Reduced Lunch Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	38.9	54	56.9	58.1
Anticipated Year	2009	53.8	64.7	68.3	
of Graduation	2010	63.1	74.9		
	2011	66.7			

Minority Student Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	36.2	49.8	53.1	54.1
Anticipated Year	2009	51.7	62.3	65.7	
of Graduation	2010	63.6	74.9		
	2011	65			

Students with Disabilities Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	43.2	53.7	59	61.5
Anticipated Year	2009	35.3	46.8	52.2	
of Graduation	2010	40	59.5		
	2011	42.1			

English Learners Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	36.7	53.7	56.3	57
Anticipated Year	2009	42.7	46.8	52.3	
of Graduation	2010	65.1	74.4		
	2011	63			

State Average (Mean) COACT Composite Score (2009-10 baseline)

	N of Students	Average Score
1-year (2010)	51,438	20.0
3-year (2008-10)	151,439	20.1

This School's Graduation Rate and Disaggregated Graduation Rate Overall Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	37.4	51	54.1	55.1
Anticipated Year	2009	53	63	66.5	
of Graduation	2010	63.8	74.2		
	2011	64.8			
	Aggregated	53.3	62	60.2	55.1

Free/Reduced Lunch Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	38.9	54	56.9	58.1
Anticipated Year	2009	53.8	64.7	68.3	
of Graduation	2010	63.1	74.9		
	2011	66.7			
	Aggregated	54.5	64	62.6	58.1

Minority Student Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	36.2	49.8	53.1	54.1
Anticipated Year	2009	51.7	62.3	65.7	
of Graduation	2010	63.6	74.9		
	2011	65			
	Aggregated	52.5	61.4	59.2	54.1

Students with Disabilities Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	43.2	53.7	59	61.5
Anticipated Year	2009	35.3	46.8	52.2	
of Graduation	2010	40	59.5		
	2011	42.1			
	Aggregated	39.9	53.1	55.3	61.5

English Learners Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

5

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	36.7	53.7	56.3	57
Anticipated Year	2009	42.7	46.8	52.3	
of Graduation	2010	65.1	74.4		
	2011	63			
	Aggregated	46.5	54.8	54.2	57

All averages are compared to baselines from the first year the performance framework reports were released (2009-10 for 1-year reports and 2008-10 for 3-year reports).

Colorado calculates "on-time" graduation as the percent of students who graduate from high school four years after entering ninth grade. A student is assigned a graduating class when they enter ninth grade by adding four years to the year the student enters ninth grade. The formula anticipates, for example, that a student who entered ninth grade in fall 2006 would graduate with the Class of 2010.

For the 1-year SPF, schools earn points based on the highest value among the following: 2011 4year graduation rate, 2010 5-year graduation rate, 2009 6-year graduation rate and 2008 7-year graduation rate (the shaded cells in the tables on the left). For the 3-year SPF, schools earn points based on the highest value among the following: aggregated 2008, 2009, 2010 and 2011 4-year graduation rate, aggregated 2008, 2009 and 2010 5-year graduation rate, aggregated 2008 and 2009 6-year graduation rate, or 2008 7-year graduation rate. For each of these rates, the aggregation is the result of adding the graduation totals for all available years and dividing by the sum of the graduation bases across all available years. For both 1-year and 3-year SPFs, the "best of" graduation rate is bolded and italicized on the Performance Indicators detail page.

SPF 2012 - 0880 - 6314